Previous references.

1903-04.
(Sec: Rev:)

File No. 1806/1902.
Serial Nos. 1706.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Major [redacted] B. for June 1904.

SUBJECT.

Inam Toddy claim of Gonibidu Agrahar Village, Mudgere Taluk.

---

1. From the Excise Commr:, No: 7859/530 D 19/27th June 1903.— Submits the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue of Gonibidu Agrahar Inam Village, Mudgere taluk.

2. G. R. S. 58 To the Excise Commr:, No: 48/Ex: 7/3-0. D 22-7-1903. Enquires as to how the Dy.

Dy: Commr: awarded such a large sum as Rs: 600/10/7- &c. &c. —

3. To the Supdt: of Inam Settlements in Mysore - No: 49/Ex: J 13-01 & 22-7-03. Requests that a copy of the Inam Regr: of Enquiry relating to the Gonibidu Agrahar Inam Village, Gonibidu Hobli, Mudgere Taluk, may be forwarded.

4. From the Excise Commr:, No: 7982/308 & 23/28th April 1904. States that the Inamdars of Gonibidu Agrahar Village in the Mudgere Taluk, have no evidence to prove their enjoyment of the Excise Revenue for 12 years prior to 1892-93.

5. From the Supdt: of Settlements, No: 15 & 28/29 July 1903. Forwards a copy of the Inam Regr: of the Gonibidu Agrahar Inam Village in the Mudgere Taluk.

6. To the Excise Commr: & Comptroller. G.O. No: 790-1/Ex: J 13-01 & 8-6-1904. Passing orders regarding the Inamdars claim to the Bagani toddy revenue of the Gonibidu Agrahar, Mudgere Taluk.

17/2/1914.
19/2/1914.

S. R 180-02

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

Subject. Letter No. $\frac{7982}{305}$ dated the $\frac{23^{rd}}{28^{th}}$ April 1904, stating that the Inamdars of Gowlidoddi Agrahara in the Hunsur Taluk have no evidence to prove their enjoyment of the Excise revenue for 12 years previous to 1892-3.

Note. On the 27th of June 1903 the Excise Commissioner submitted, with his opinion, the record of inquiry, relating to the claim of the Inamdars of the said Agrahara to the Excise Revenue of the Agrahara village (vide his letter No $\frac{7857}{530}$ & $\frac{19}{27}$ 27th June 1903). With reference to this, certain observations were made in this office No $\frac{48}{S.T.\ 18-01}$ dated 22/7/'03 as to the applicability or otherwise of certain rules prescribed for the settlement of the claims of the Inamdars and of the amounts to be paid to them, and the Excise Commissioner was requested to enquire

B

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

to enquire on what grounds the Deputy Commissioner had [cancelled] the Orders of the Cazi Court of Robon and also to report whether there is any evidence of the suspension of the Excise Rev. by the Jordans for 12 years prior to 1892-93.

(2) In reply to this, the letter under reference [has been] received. It is here stated that the Queen Dias have no evidence to prove their suspension of the Excise Rev. for 12 years prior to 1892-93 & that the Queen seemed to have had a shop in 1898-99. The Excise Commissioner also forwarded, with the above, a copy of letter No. 85, Dated 21.11.03, received from the Dy. Commr. Kadur and reported that the award of Robon was a mistake and that the same had been corrected & reduced to Rs 190-12-0.

As regards the claim itself, it is stated that the Jordans do not-

for a period of 20 years from the date of the Order. the Revenue Period from the Beginning. and ordered that it should afterwards lapse to Govt. At the same time all Govt. rights to trees &c. &c. other items of Abkari were reserved. The said order of the Inam Commr was passed on the 2nd March 1880. Twenty years from that date expired on the 2nd March 1900. So, the Inam lapsed to Govt. on the 3rd March 1900. The Jodidars on the, according to the terms of the said order, to have enjoyed the revenue during the 12 years from 1880 to 1892. This is rather strange why, under these circumstances, it is reported that the Jodidars have not been able to produce any evidence of the enjoyment of the revenue during that period. did they then not enjoy the revenue at all

to both possessors assumed and are not in a position to state for certain whether the revenue question has been conceded to them or not. The Samad was but it would appear, granted at the Inam Settlement Sibbi.

The Taluka Jamabandi accounts for the years 1800-10 have also not been forthcoming. It was then found that the Jorians were enjoying the arack and toyer items of revenue, but it was ascertained that they had since long been enjoying the revenue from Bagani Tanks. No documents, however, were produced in support of the right. Nor, was there anything to show that this item had been included in the Berij. On the other hand, the enjoyment of this revenue, even under the Amani system, was stated to have been collected without question. Upon these considerations, the Inam Commissioner confirmed to the

Jorians

Burning Ghat dispute. The Jordans have deposed to the above facts before the investigating Officer. The Deputy Commissioner has reported that the revenue in question can be sent to York from the 1st April 1900, which it actually did on the 3rd March of that year.

(4) The Jordans, having neither a demand to prove the truth of the claim nor proved any enjoyment of it, and the claimed revenue from Byamitary having, at the time settlement been conceded to the Jamadars for a period of 20 years from the 2nd March 1880, the date of the survey the claim may not be disallowed as having ceased to York on the 3rd March 1900. The Revenue Commissioner may be requested to submit a refund bill for the amount payable if any, to the Jordans.

Mr. 7.5.02 (7) A draft embodying my view of the case is put up 17/5/02

61

Sent to the Director
through the 1st Cr (with draft)
LL 24/5/04

I think the draft letter
a correct view of the
case - Abd - A.
26/5/04

LL 28/5/04

Yes
31.V.04 LL 7/6/44

S.R. 180 of 02. Ex 572 of 29/6/03. Sl. (1) 1.

1903.

13959.

From P. N. Narasaiya Esq: B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To A. V. Ranganadaiya Esq: M.A. Asst Secy: to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments

Dated 19th

Despatched 27th June 1903

Received

General No. 7859
No. 530

Enclosure No. one file

Submits the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue of Gonibidu Agrahara Inam village, Mudagere taluk.

Exci
29.6.03

2 drafts put up

Issued 1/7/03

Excise Commissioners Office,

No. 7859/536 Enc one file.

Bangalore, dated 19th June 1903.
27th

From,

P. R. Ramaiya Esquire B. A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

H. V. Ranganadaiya Esquire M. A. M. L.
Secretary to the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, General,
and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Gonibidenahalli Inam village, Gudagere taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the opinion of the Deputy Commissioner that in accordance with the Inam settlement, the Inamdars were entitled to Toddy Revenue only

for

for twenty years from 1st April 1880 to 31st March 1900. The present Deputy Commissioner in para 10 of his letter No. 198 dated 21st May 1903 / 9th June 1903. says - "Extract from the Quit rent Registers relating to this village, is herewith forwarded. Kasinja has produced an unstamped Mokhtiar-nama from 10 of the resident Vrittidars empowering him to act on their behalf; and he states that the other Vrittidars are absent".

2. There is a Bagani Toddy Shop in the village, as well as Bagani trees. No Bagani groves were sold by Government under the farming system during the years 1892-93 to 1896-1897. The Inamdars were allowed to lease them including the right of drawing toddy up

up to the year 1897-98; and
therefore no amounts are payable
to them by Government for those
years. But from the year 1898-99
to 31st March 1901, they are entitled
to ~~x~~ profits of liquor on Bapami ~~x~~ subject to
to dispose their village as ✓
to stop it from the year in
which they from which they
have been dealt by Government. ~~x~~ Shafarat Rs. as.
~~x~~ By Commissioner has awarded 1899-1900 13-8-
After final orders are 1900-1901 18-0-
by Government, correct 1901-1902 12-0-
will be obtained from the 1902-1903 12-0-
Commissioner and necessary 55-8-0
will be submitted to
for sanction. No
have been made to the ✓
on account of the

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.
[signature]
for Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Mysore Secretariat
Elect & Rev Secretariats

2 Draft

Office Draft. (Docket.)

~~Mysore Dewan's Office,~~
Elect Branch.

S.R. 120 of 23

To Sl:12.
The Revd Comptr,
in Mysore

Date of passing the draft 22.7.23
Date of despatch 22-7-23

Regd. No. 48
File No. & date (if any) E4713-01

No. of Encls. 1 file.

His letter no 7857/530 dt 27th June 23 on the subject of Inamdar's claims to the toddy revenue of Conjeevi Agraharam Inamvillage, Muddebihal Taluk.

In returning the enclosures noted with the above for reference & perusal, I am to observe that, as the Shop was sold for the fourteenth in

1899-1900, the Executive is entitled to a Special Grant under Schedule 13 for 1899-1900 due to the Excise Tax & Rebate Grant for that portion of the year 1899-1900 Excise (with 31st March 1900 and to enquire how the Govt arrived such a large amount as £600.10.7. The Excise Comm is also requested to state if there is any evidence of the Executive's receipt of the above Grant for 12 years previous 1892-93

Rec'd

22/7/13

21/7/13

19/7/13

Mysore Govt
Genl & Rev. Secretariat C

~~Mysore Dewan's Office,~~
Excise Branch.

SR 180 Genl

Office Draft. (Docket.)

To

The School of Arms
Settlement
in Mysore

Date of passing the draft 22.7.03
Date of despatch 22.7.03.

Regd. No. 49
File No. & date (if any) E 4713 04

No. of Encls.

Has the honor
to request that a
copy of the Arms
register of enquiries
relating to the
Govinda Agraharam
Inam village, Govinda
halli, Nudigere
Taluk, may be
forwarded to Govt

Genl
Mysore
22/7
Forwarded

Ex 4/14 & 29/4/04.

1

1904

S.R. 180 of 02.
Sl: (b)

From L. Ananda Rau Esq. B.A
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To L. Anantasamme Rao Esq: B.A
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Dated 23rd } April 1904.
Despatched 28th }
Received

General No. 7982
No. 308

Enclosure No 1 & 1 file

States that the Inamdars of Goni
beedu Agrahar village in the
Mudgere Taluk have no evidence
to prove their enjoyment of the
Excise Revenue for twelve years
prior to 1892-1893

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12-02

81

(No.)

Excise Commissioner's Office in Mysore

No. 7982 / 308 Cubbon Park Bangalore, dated 28nd / 28th April 1904

From

R. N. Peemaiya Esquire B. a,

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

To

L. Anantasawmi Row Esquire B. a,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

1 enclosures returned. Adverting to your No: 48 / Ex:713-01 dated 22nd July last anent the Inamdars' claim to the Toddy Revenue of Konibid Agrahar Inam Village Mudgere Taluk, I have the honour to state that the Inamdars have no evidence to prove their enjoyment of the Excise Revenue for twelve years prior to 1892-3.

2 The Inam village seems to have had a Shop in 1898-99, and the amount now awarded by the Deputy Commissioner is Rs 70-12-0

Copy

Copy of his No: 85 dated 21st November 1903 is hereunto submitted. I am of opinion that the Inamdars are not entitled to Compensation for 1897-1898.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedient Servant
A. G. Gracey
for Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

10.

Excise Department

Rec'd: 6 S.R. 1903.

Sl: (4).

No 85 dated 21st November 1903.

From

M. Narain Rao Esqre.
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

L.A. Pennington Esqre
Excise Commissioner
in Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your memo No 469 dated 27th July 1903 forwarding for report copy of Government docket No 48 dated 22nd Idem, regarding the claim of the Inamdars of Gonibid Agrahar in the Hundsee Taluk to the Bagani Toddy Kanisme of the village, I have the honor to state that when the Tree-tax System was for the first time proposed to be introduced in 1897-98, the Coffee Estate holders and Kan holders protested against it, and on the then Deputy Commissioner's representations, the introduction of the tree-tax in the case of Bagani Trees in the District was held in abeyance. As a result

of

of this arrangement, the Inamdars of Gonibeda Agrahar were deprived of the privilege they had enjoyed of leasing out the Bagani trees in their Inam Village to Contractors. In order to compensate for the loss of Revenue thus occasioned to the Inamdars, I would propose the payment of a lumpsum calculated as per Rule 13 of Government Proceedings No 9074-83 dated 2nd August 1901. K.J. 116-97

The Government Revenue from Bagani Sooldy for the whole District for 1897 98 being Rs 7,884/- and the Government population 60,291 excluding the Kadur Taluk which has no Bagani trees, the amount payable for the Inam villages which have population of 7871 comes to Rs 87.

2. In 1898 99 when the tree-tax system came into force, the Shop at Gonibid fetched Rs 85/- at the Sale and tree-tax amounting to Rs 30 4 6 (including Local cess) is now realized. The Inamdars are therefore entitled to these amounts for that year minus the cost of establishment and local cess on the tree tax under Rule 9

3. For the portion of the year 1899 00 ending with 31st March 1900, when

where the 20 years concession to the Incumbrancers ceased, they are entitled to Rs 158-11-6, (Rs 16 11 being the proportionate Shop rent plus Rs 141 13 6 as free tax including local cess) under Rule 17, minus the Cost of Establishment and Local cess.

4. Thus, from 1st July 1897 to 31st March 1900, the total amount to which the Incumbrancers are entitled is Rs 190-12-0 as particularised in the margin.

(1) 1897 98. Lump sum Grant	Rs a. P. 8 0 0	
(2) 1898 99 Amount realized by the Sale of the aforesaid Toddy Shop	16 0 0	
Free-tax including local cess	30 4 6	
(3) 1899 00 (From July 1899 to March 1900 inclusive)		
Proportionate Shop rent.	16-14-0	
Free tax including local cess	141-13-6	
Total Rs	213-0-0	
Deduct Establishment charges at 6% on Rs 202-14-0 = 12-2-0	22-4-0	
Local cess = 10-2-0		
Balance Rs.	190-12-0	

5. The award of Rs 600/- referred to by you seems to be due to a mistake in calculations. Though there were a Shop and a Grove in the village in the years 1898-99 and 1899-1900, the existence of the Shop was lost sight of and calculations were made under Rule 13. Again in making the calculations, the average of the Date Toddy Revenue (omitting Bagani toddy revenue) of the District for the three years ending

30th

30th June 1901 was taken into account instead of only the Bagani toddy Revenue and the Government population of the Talukas contributing to that Revenue. Hence the proportionate Revenue for the Incam village was calculated at Rs 213/- per annum or Rs 639-00 for three years ending 30th June 1900, and after deducting the cost of establishment at 6%, the amount payable to the Inamdars was fixed at Rs 600/-

6 As regards documentary evidence to show the right of the Inamdars to the Excise Revenue for 12 years prior to 1892-93, the Inamdars say that they have none.

7 The Calendar relating to the case together with the explanatory Memorandum and Kaveda file returned with your memo under report are accordingly compiled and herewith submitted.

I have &c.
(Signed) P.G. D'Souza
for Asstt Collr & Magte
Kadur Dt.

Copy"

P. Gopalacharlu
Hon Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Mch

Calendar of a Claim to Toddy Revenue enquired into by the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District

Name of Taluk	Name of Hobli Sammat or Nagani	Name of Sarvadikaram, Jodi or Kayam Patta Village	Name of Kandaya Jodidar or Kayam Pattadar	Documents relied upon	Witnesses Examined	Finding	Amount awarded if any	Grounds of decision
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Bandalpore Amalgamation 180 of 1894 Yelandur Sl. (A)		(1) Chikka Siddaiya Son of Kempaiya (2) Kempaiya (3) Siddaiya (4) Honnaiya Sons of (1) Kempaiya (5) Siddaiya (6) Honnaiya Sons of (4) Siddaiya (7) Nanjundaiya Son of (5) Siddaiya	Subbaraya Matha Vokkaliga	Village Accounts Registers	Peter Moriarty	That the Service Watan and Village Office of Bandalpore Subsequent to 1st Adhikara Revenue Settlement	Rs. 7 Annas 0 Pies 0	The Quit rent Register Confirms the right to Nagani Toddy for 20 years which expired on 1-4-1900

Kadur District
Dated 5th January 1903

Asst to the Commissioner
Kadur District

Ex 65 & 31/7/03. 15

30 JUL. 1903

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

F.R. 180 Loc.
R: (5)

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 28th
Despatched 29th July 1903
Received

No. 15 of 1903 —04

Enclosure No. One

As requested in his docket No. 49 / Ex. J. 13-01 of the 22nd July 1903, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the inam register of the Gorihede Agrahar inam village in the Hunsigere Taluk.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Ex 84
Rec'd 31/7/03

Inst: b L.A. 180 of 02.
Sl: (5).

(Register Sheet No. 50)

Taluk — Mudagere.
Hobli — Melpal
Village — Ramanathapura
otherwise called Gonibid Agrahar

Otherwise Called Gomtikere Agrahara

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Ramanathapura **in the**

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
(Inam Title Deed No 203)				
(Revd F. Tittle Deed No 406)				
1.	Personal	No Cumuvalu account is available		

Area as per Survey list.
A. G.
Gross Extent — 3610 - 3
Deduct unculturable — 1456 - 39
Balance — 2153 - 4
Dry 962 - 19
Wet 1188 - 2
Garden 2 - 23
Total 2153 - 4.

16

Sammat of Melpad **in the Taluk of** Mudagere

Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND Description of Inam. If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.*, jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered.
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.			
6	7	8	9
		For personal benefit.	Jodi including this and the other 9 Dakali villages Pa. F. V. 139-1-" Rs. a. p. 529-14-5

Bench assessment for Sarguvarige Grahara Jodi
Village account of 1799-800
Add 10 for Cattle Tax for Cattle 19

41-0-5	= 156-8-4	Pa. F. V.
15-10-5		
Total 172-2-9		

Assessment as per Survey list.

Gross assessment Rs: 5921-8-0
Deduct grazing valuation - 91 — —
Balance 5830-8-0
Dry 257-13-9
Wet 5565-12-7
Garden - 12-14-0
Total 5836-8-4

After eliminating fractions
Rs: 5830-8-0

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14

Hurzur Agrahar Village account of 1799-800
Goniveede Talukk
Total Khisteroy P. 7. V. 140-8-0
Deduct & transfer to Goniveede on account of Bhatamanyams - 19-6-0
Balance 161-2-0

Hurzur Jodi Village account of 1821-22
Goniveede Ramanatha-pura P. 7. V. 139-1-0

Ramanathpur Village P. 7. V.
Vrittees 10-0 25-9-8
1. Rebbar Linga bhatta V. ½ 1-2-8
2. Rubbroy bhatta V. ½ 1-2-8
3. Amalagiri bhatta V. ½ 1-2-8
4. Kasi bhatta V. ½ 1-2-8
5. Viswesvara bhatta V. ½ 1-2-8
6. Ramachendra bhatta V. ¼ 0-6-4
7. Chikka Rubbabhatta V. ¼ 0-6-4
8. Badgiri Rubbabhatta V. 1 2-5-0
9. Ramabhatta V. ½ 1-2-8
10. Mylarbhatta V. 1 2-5-0
11. Malale Rambhatta V. 1 2-5-0
12. Kavil Joisha V. 1 2-4-8
13. Kasipati bhatta V. ½ 1-2-8
14. Purushottama bhatta V. 1 1-3-5-8
15. Jeamns. V. 1 1-2-8
Inams 10 25-9-8

Hurzur Jodi Village Goshwara of 1825-26
Goniveede Ramanatha-pura P. 7. V. 139-1-0

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Gouliedes Agrahar			
Bhoyabhatta 34 } 13/32	Great grandson		
Bhatta 39 }	of No 1 in 1799-800		Nil
[illegible] 40 } 25	Do		2 sons
Bhatta 32 } 64	Uncle's 2d Great		
[illegible] 62 } 25	Grandson of No 2		3 daughters
[illegible] 10 } 64	Do		2 — Do
[illegible]bhatta }	Cousin's sons widow		Nil
Bhoyabhatta 35 } 1/4	of No 2		
20 }	Cousins 3d Great		adoptive
[illegible]bhatta }	adopted son of Do		Mother.
Bhoyabhatta 35 } 1/4	Gr. andson of No 3		
20 }	Do		wife
Bhatta 54 }	3d Great grandson of No 4		Nil
[illegible] 30 } 1/8	3d Great grandson's widow of do		wife & daughter
[illegible] 15 1/8	2nd do — Do	Do	Nil
Bhoyabhatta 48 1/8	3d Great grandson of No 4		1 daughter
[illegible]bhatta 62 }	2d Great grandson of Do		1 son
Bhatta 35 } 16	Do	Do	1 Son
[illegible]bhatta 30 } 1/16	Do		Nil
[illegible]bhatta 25 }	Do		Nil
[illegible]bhatta 24 }	Do		wife
[illegible]bhatta 61 }	2nd Do		Nil
[illegible]bhatta 34 } 1/16	3d Do		2 Sons
[illegible] 15 }	Do		Nil
[illegible]bhatta 60 5/32	Uncle's Great Grandson		Nil
[illegible]ramma 14 5/32	Uncle's 1st Great Grandson's		Nil
Bhoyabhatta 12 1/32	widow of Do		Nil
	Grandson's Dohitra		Mother
	of Do		

It is stated that the ancestor of Nos 1 and 2 in Columns 15 possessed $\frac{11}{16}$ V with $\frac{1}{2}$ in the account and $\frac{3}{16}$ as a gift from the family of No 7 in the account and that of this, only $\frac{13}{32}$ is now enjoyed by the descendants.—

Of the $\frac{25}{32}$ V. held by Nos 3 to 6, $\frac{1}{2}$ was inherited from No 2 in the account and the remaining $\frac{1}{32}$ was obtained in mortgage from No 1 above alluded to 70 years ago - Nos 1 & 2 have no objections to the registry of the V. in the names of Nos 3 to 6.

Inherited.—

Inherited from No 4 in the account.—

The $\frac{1}{4}$ V. held by Nos 12 to 20 was inherited from No 4 out of his $\frac{1}{2}$ with

Of the $\frac{1}{2}$ V. enjoyed by Nos 21 to 29, $\frac{1}{4}$ has been inherited and the remaining $\frac{1}{4}$ V was purchased more than 70 years ago from the family of No 11. Long enjoyment admitted.—

Gonibede.

53 Subb.	24. Visweswera bhatta	48		1st Great Grandson of No 5	2 sons
Vaidar	25. Venkanna bhatta	25	1/32	Do	wife
54 Venkan	26. Shamabhatta	18			
Gon	27. Venkanna bhatta	25	1/32	Brothers Grandson of	wife
55 Ayata	28. Lakshmedevamma	40	1/32	Do	Nil
[illegible]	29. Gundabhatta	20	1/16	Brothers Grandsons widow of Do	Nil
Hunde	30 Ramachandrabhatta	40	1/8	Brothers Great Grandson of Do	Daughte
[illegible]	31. Ramappiah	28		Grandson of No 6	
[illegible]	32 Pattabiah	16	1/8	Great Grandson of Do	Daughte
8 [illegible]	33 Rabroya	12		Do	wife
[illegible]	Undivided			Do	Nil
[illegible]	34 Subbramanyabhatta	36		Brothers Great Grandson	Nil
[illegible]	35. Kasibhatta	34		Do	1 son
[illegible]	36 Rubbamma	40	1/4	Brothers Grandsons widow of Do	wife
[illegible]	Undivided				Nil
[illegible]	Phalguni Village				
[illegible]	37. Manjabhatta	8	3/16	2d Great Grandson of No 8	Nil
[illegible]	38. Puttanna bhatta	62		Great Grandson of Do	1 son
Gon	39 Rubbroyabhatta	32		2d Great Grandson of Do	wife
[illegible]	40 Visweswera bhatta	29	5/32	Do	wife
	Undivided				
[illegible]	41. Lingabhatta	15		2nd Great Grandson of Do	Nil
[illegible]	42. Karthavara bhatta	8	5/32	Do	Nil
[illegible]	43. Rubbroyabhatta	4		Do	Nil
[illegible]	Amble Chickmagloor Tk.				
[illegible]	44 Lingana Hanumappa	40		Granddaughters Son	1 son
[illegible]	45 Ramanna	35	1/32	Do	2 sons
[illegible]	Gonibede				
[illegible]	46 Ramachandriah	40	1/32	Do	1 Daughter
[illegible]	Gubbi Arkalgud Taluk.				
[illegible]	47. Manjappaiah	55	1/8	3rd Great Grandson of Shama bhatta a recognized Vrutty holder not in the account	2 sons
(illegible)	Belur				
[illegible] 4, 118	Lakshmipatiah	25		2d Great Grandson of do:	1 son
	49. Rubbamma	57	1/8	2d Grandsons widow	Nil
	Hampapur Chickamagloor Tk.				
	50 Lakshmi narayanabhatta	60		Brothers Great Grand = Son of do:	1 son
	51. Manjamma	40	Do	Ds widow	Nil
	52. Bandibhatta	55		Brothers Great Grand = Son of Do	1 son
	Undivided				

Of the ½ V. held by Nos 30 to 36, ¼ has been inherited from no 6 in the account and the remaining ¾ is stated to have been obtained in purchase from no 11 in the account, Long enjoyment admitted. —

The ½ V. held by Nos 37 to 43, has been inherited from no 8 in the account. —

Inherited from no 7 in the account one Vritti, minus 3/16 mortgaged to nos 42 in Col: 15 & 5/16 mortgaged to 58 to 65 and 9/16 to Nos 68 to 72 in Col: 15 by Gift — Of this 7/16 V. ⅛ has been mortgaged to Gungadevati of Sanikede Appaliadar Renewal 3 years ago.

The ½ V. held by Nos 47 to 58 is said to have been inherited from one Shamnabhatta whose name is omitted in the account, said to be by mistake

Subbabhatta	40 – 2/4	Great Grandsons of Do	2 Sons
Vedava Chickmoghur			
Vencatapatiah	15 – 1	Great Grandson of no 13 in do	2 Sons
Gopilede			
Narsanniah	12	3d Great Grandson of no 9	nil
Mallaniah	5	— Do —	nil
Mahalingunnah	10	Grandsons widow	nil
Indivied			
Mangy village			
Nagerahad Taluk			
Gumtachubbiah	40		1 Son
Narsanniah	35		1 do
Viranniah	20		wife
Venkatapa	60	1/16 Aliences.	Do
Malanpiah	31		wife
Venkatanniah	16		nil
Narasinnaiah	55		wife
Mangiah	50		wife
Gopilede			
Timmarasuneradevar Vemalapratan	1/2	alience	
Gopilede			
Venkatanniah	18 – 3/8	— Do —	Nil
Indavalli agrahar Nochumaghur Taluk			
Venkatapa Jaganta	30		wife
Narasinna Jaganta	20		Son
Narsayya	35	1/16 Aliences	2 Sons
Narsaniah	32		wife
Venkanniah	28		Nil
Gopilede			
Ramanah	13 – 1/4	— Do —	2 Sons 2 undivided Brothers and 2 Cousins
Venkatesputr Pattiah	70 – 1/8	Do	1 Son 3 undivided Brothers Sons

Total Virtees 9

Inherited from No 13 in the account

Do 9 Do

Of the 1/16 V 1/2 V is said to be Connected with No 12 in the Account whose correct name was Dawannah Josh who about 80 years ago Mortgaged it to the ancestor of the parties one Ramiah - The remaining 5/16 V has been similarly obtained on Mortgaged from the family of No 7 in that Account. The remaining 1/4 was obtained in purchase from the family of No 11 in the Account many years ago - No documentary evidence Long Enjoyment admitted -

This 1/2 V. is said to have been given as a Gift by the daughter of No 14 in the Account 70 years ago. Long Enjoyment admitted

1/4 V is said to have been purchased from No 14 in the Account by the Grand father of by many years ago and the other 1/4 from No 11 in the Account many years ago Long uninterrupted Enjoyment admitted —

This 1/10 V. is said to have been given over by Gift by No 7 in the account to the Grand father of the parties many years ago Long possession undisputed —

This is under Mortgage to Sangadevi of the Village -

This 1/4 is said to be Connected with No 14 in the account who mortgaged it to the Grand father of the parties 70 years ago. Enjoyment admitted —

This 1/8 is Connected with No 11 in the account whose act it is the Grand father of the parties many years ago - admitted —

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner of the Division on Cases regarding Validity of the Inam.

Assistant's Opinion & recommendation -

Col: 19.

A Ashi case ——

As per Huzur Agraharam Village account of 1799-800

Total District - Assessment — Jodi
P. F. V. P. F. V.
Rs. — 139-1— 139-1—
Jo. a p
529-14-5

Inamdars.

Name of Villages.	Extent	Assessment Rs a p	Jodi Rs a p	Proportionate Quit Rent Case No	P. F. No	Remarks
Komaleda or Ramanathapur	" " "	156 8 4	156 8 4	1	50	
Ramanathapur	" " "	98 9 8	98 9 8	1	58	
Timmapura	" " "	79 5 -	79 5 -	1	59	
Mudare	" " "	29 11 5	29 11 5	1	51	
Kumara Kodu	" " "	29 11 5	29 11 5	1	57	
Kumaragare	" " "	29 11 5	29 11 5	1	53	
Kumaradoddare	" " "	29 11 5	29 11 5	1	55	
Bajavalli	" " "	32 14 3	32 14 3	1	52	
Mallikumarkodu	" " "	35 6 5	35 5 5	1	54	
Timmakere	" " "	8 6 1	8 6 1	1	56	
Total	" " "	529 11 5	529 14 5			

There is no Taluk Pymaish account of 3 years prior to 1800 for adoption in Column 4.

The Inamdars are not entitled to the whole & sole revenues of the Village - They are not enjoyed.

It is asserted that the revenue from Bargani Toddy has long since been enjoyed and that no documents can be produced in support of the right. There is

no

Inam and expressly alienating the rights, nor can it be ascertained that the item was included in the Beriz which has been fixed as the Beriz. The enjoyment of the revenue even during the time when the item was under "Inam" management of Government is stated to have been tolerated without question. It is therefore recommended under Clause 2 of Circs 1806 that the full revenue may be continued for 20 years, and half for the next 10 years.

5. With respect to Inotupa, the tax on houses is taken by the Inamdar while that on trade carried on by the Ryots of the Village is shared by Government from the year Fasa 1875-76. The tax is of a fluctuating character, and the exact amount cannot be added to the Jodi:- The payment to Government of the half proceeds of the trade tax of the Village will be continued as heretofore.

6. The forest rights will be governed by the Chief Commrs rulings on the subject.

7. The Local Fund cess paid by the Inamdars on the Beriz of the Village is Rs: 33-1-0

8. A Valuation survey is desired.

9. The case is treated as a grant, as in 1799-800 account. Separate details have been given regarding the Beriz of each of the 10 Villages as also of the Vrittis therein. In the subsequent accounts of 1821-22 and 1825-26, the Jodi and Beriz for all Villages is shown in a lump - A sum of 19-6 (canteroy) P. Fl has been deducted in the 1799-800 account.

on

On account of personal Inams but the particulars thereof have not been given. The full Extent 180 7 8 (Canteroy) equivalent to 139-1 (Hydn) is now claimed as per dit. There are separate Inams to come out by the Jain accounts for circar Gonibede Village and these have been recorded separately. The no of Vrittis shewn in the Siddhanti account is 46 for the 10 Villages, but according to particulars now furnished, they are only 45, the mistake is in the Gonibede Village, the total Nos not tallying with details.

10. As in every agrahar, there are a number of Vrittis said to have been obtained by alienation for which, there is no documentary evidence. In the majority of instances, the transfers have been acknowledged by the descendants of the persons shewn in the earliest available account, and in other cases, the statement of the agrahaundars had to be relied on.

11. Petition from Hebbar Subbrozabhatta of Gonibede received with Inam Rupittis Comp. Nos 9 of 8th November 1878 for disposal. The Petitioner complains that certain Services ordained by Vasudeva from Pudumat funds to Hanumavati Ummadi or Gangadevi have not been carried out, that besides the above, the agrahaundars have given the daily certain Candies of land as Gifts and that there are other Vrittis obtained by sale, gift and mortgage, the proceeds of which were formerly expended in feeding Bhramins every 2 or 3 years, that two persons Subbramanya bhatta and Kasi bhatta have obtained possession of all the documents regarding the Pudumat funds and Gifts made, and that the feeding has not taken place for the last 15 years. From enquiries, it appears that

Similar

similar complaints are being made to the Taluk and District since the year 1872 and that orders have been passed to the effect that a Civil Suit should be instituted. The deity Gunga devi (the River in the village possesses according to the particulars recorded in Col: 15 of this Register, and the Dobbi Villages 15/18 Vrittis by sale mortgage and gift, that the same is in the possession of Kasi bhatta No. 35 in Col: 15 who is the Potail of the village and that the proceeds thereof for the last 10 years have not been utilized in feeding Bhramins. The said Kasi bhatt, admits the circumstance and alleges various causes as having hindered the feeding. The produce of the Vrittis is said to be in the hands of the Cultivators and to amount to more than 1000 Candies of Paddy. So far as the work of this Department is concerned, such Vrittis as the deity appeared entitled to, have been recorded in the name of the deity and all further Complaints might properly be disposed of by the Muzroy Civil Revenue Officers of the District.

12. The Deity and Jodi being equal, 10 per Cent has been added to the former of each of the Villages for the Calculation of ad interim Quit Rent thereon. The Jodi for the 10 Villages has been Clubbed together and shown for the Village only. As details are forthcoming in the account this has been separated.

15 A To be Confirmed on 1/8 Ad. Interim
Quit-Rent of — 1-15-4 + Jodi 156-8-4 or
Rs 158. —

B — Do — Do 1-3-8 + Jodi 98-9-8 or 100 Rs.
C — Do — Do 0-15-10 + Jodi 79-5-" or 80 Rs.
D — Do — Do 0-6-0 + " 29-11-5 or 30 Rs
E — Do — Do 0-6-0 + " 29-11-5 or 30 Rs.
F — Do — Do 0-6-0 + " 29-11-5 or 30 Rs.
G — Do — Do 0-6-0 + " 29-11-5 or 30 Rs
H — Do — Do 0-6-7 + " 32-14-3 or 33 Rs
I — Do — Do 0-7-1 + " 35-5-5 or 36 Rs
K — Do — Do 0-1-5 + " 8-6-1 or 8-8 "

Or 535-8-"

1. Title Deed to Cover A to K.

There is much dispute as regards the Title Deed. The majority of the Vrittidars requested to be given Survey No 35 in Col 15 which is the Patail of the Village

(Signed) S. Veeraraghavanayar
Assistant
29/12/78

To be Confirmed on 1/8 final Quit rent of as per Survey Valuation Rs 662-6-8 + Jodi 529-14-5 R a Rs
0, Rs: 1192-

(Initialed) S. Veeraraghavanayar
assistant.

No separate Valuation is given in the list received from the Survey Department of the Dodlli Villages.

(Initialled) S. Veeraraghavanayar
assistant

Notice d/ 27-3-79 issued to the Jodidars intimating the final Quit-Rent —

Note

A. Independant Inams — Nil

B. Subsidiary Inamations need not be noticed under the Rules.

C. Revenue enjoyed by the Inamdars

Land Revenue, Moturfa, Bazaar, Toddy, Amroy,

D. Statistics.

Asli Village	1
Dokkies	9

Manjanattapura, Jannapura, Abdare, Kammarkodu, Mel Kummarkodu Kirisigare, Murudankere, Bijavalli and Sirakere —

	In order	In disorder	
Tanks	8	4	12.

Drawing Wells — Stone built — Mud Wells.

12	8	20.
Ponds		10
Channels		4.

Temples — Stone built — Tiles — Thatched

3	2	5	10.
Arrack Shop			1

Houses — Tiled — Thatched

19	82	101.

Population

Men	Boys	Women	Girls	
150	60	201	95	506.

E. Boundaries Blue erected by the Survey Department.

(Signed) T. Srinivasaraghavar
assistant

29/12/78

(Column 20)

1. Confirmed on a final Combined quit rent of Rs: 1264 and subject to all Local Land and Irrigation Cases.

2. The revenue derived from the Begnee Toddy is Confirmed for 20 years and vide Mem Case. There are no exceptional reasons for Continuing it to another 10 years on 1/2.

3. All Government rights to all other items of Abkari, to Lands & Forests are reserved.

4. The Mokhtuffa Collections Cannot be allowed without a Special Command or Authority for it.

(Signed) Robt Cole.
2-3-80 Asstt to Govt Secy

5. Vide Dewan's Proceedings No. 4701-9 87/133 2nd September 1881.

6. Vide also the Statement received with Secretary's Docket No. 489/28 of the 17th Octr: 1881.

(Ramanatapuram Jodi village.)

Description	Cultivated.		Cultivable waste		Unarable waste		Total	
	Extent A. C.	Assessment	Extent A. C.	Assessment	Extent A. C.	Assessment	Extent A. C.	Assessment
Uncultivable	-	"	"	-	1456.39	91--	1456.39	91--
Dry	56.4	18-8-1	906.15	59-13-5	"	"	962.19	78-5-6
Wet	728.37	3619-1-11	459.5	486-10-8	"	"	1188-2	4105-12-7
Cyan Dem	1.33	9-2-0	0.30	--15--	"	"	2-23	10-1--
Total	786.34	3646-12--	1366.10	547-7-1	1456.39	91--	3610.3	4285-3-1

7

Former Jodi . . . 529-14-5

1/8 Land-Rent on the difference of Rs: 3755-4-8 } 469-6-7

999-5-0

or avoiding fractions Rs: 999-00 —

8. Finally Confirmed accordingly.

(Signed) J.D. Grant.
Supt^g of Inam Settlts.

9/6/87.

9. This Village is not subject to Revision under Government Proceedings No 14223-5 P.T. 100-93 dated 17th February 1894. Vide Survey & Settlt^s Office reference Book dated 31-8-96.

(Signed) J.D. Grant.
Supt^g Inam Settlts.

18/9/96.

"Copy"

Inam Settlt^s Office
Bangalore D^d 28th July 1903 } J.D. Grant
Supt^g Inam Settlts.

Typed
JR

Sr. 41

24

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,
Excise Branch.

S. R. 180 & 02

File No. (if any) and its date—

Sl. (6).

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:

Correspondence ending with letter No 7982/308, dated the 23rd/28th April 1904, from the Special Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of inquiry relating to the Inamdars claim to the Special revenue from toddy & Gunibidu Agrahara, Kunigal Taluk.

Order: No 7901/E7H301 & 8/6/04.

At the Inam Settlement in March 1880, the Inam Superintendent directed that under para 3 of the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 3697/R 30, dated the 8th October 1873, the Revenue from Bagani toddy should be continued

to the Inamdars of the village in question for 20 years and should lapse at the end of that period. In the Enquiry held under para 28 of Govt. Proceedings No 2074-83 / R.T. 166-92, dated the 2nd August 1901, the Deputy Commissioner found that the Inamdars were not entitled to the Peshi revenue from Dalkhoday and that their claim to the revenue from Bajani to Govt. for 20 years from 1st April 1880 to 31st March 1900 should be allowed under the terms of the Inam Settlement. The Peshi Commissioner further reports that the Bajani Rates were sold by the Government in the village under the Farming System from 1892-93 to 1896-97 [illegible]

the Iruandars were al-
-lowed to lease their
trees (including the right
of drawing toddy) up to
the year 1897-98 and that
they are entitled to the
Revenue only for the year
1898-99 and 9 months
of the year 1899-1900 end-
-ing on the 31st March 1900.
There was a shop in the
village in both the years
and the Deputy Commission
has made a revised
award of Rs 190-12-0 to
the Iruandars for these
years as well as for
1897-98.

2. Proof of enjoyment
of the Excise revenue
for 12 years prior to
1892-93 has not been
produced; but in view
of the limited nature
of the Iruandars' rights,
the Government are

pleased to waive this point and to allow the Surandai's claim to the Revenue from Bayani Levy up to 31st March 1900. As pointed out by the Special Commissioner the Surandars are not entitled to any revenue for 1897-98 and a Refund-bill in duplicate for the amount due for 1898-99 and 9 months of 1899-1900. should be submitted for the sanction of Government.

2/6/04
Offg. Secretary.

To The Special Commissioner in Tinnevelly
The Comptroller in Trichy

The Surandi & Co's appeal is endorsed on the Office Note
2/6/04

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 8th June 1904

No. 790-1
Ex. F. 13-01

Passing orders regarding the Inamdars' claim to the bagani toddy revenue of the Gonibidu Agrahar, Mudgere Taluk.

EBP—16-6-04—50

P.R. 180 for 04

33.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 8th June 1904.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 7982—308, dated 23—28th April 1904, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the Inamdars' claim to the Excise revenue from toddy of Gonibida Agrahar, Mudgere Taluk.

No. 790-1—Ex. F. 13-01, DATED BANGALORE, 8TH JUNE 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—At the Inam Settlement in March 1880, the Inam Superintendent directed that under para 3 of the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 3697—R. 30, dated the 8th October 1873, the revenue from bagani toddy should be confirmed to the Inamdars of the village in question for 20 years and should lapse at the end of that period. In the enquiry held under para 28 of Government Proceedings No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated the 2nd August 1901, the Deputy Commissioner found that the Inamdars were not entitled to the Excise revenue from date toddy and that their claim to the revenue from bagani toddy for 20 years, from 1st April 1880 to 31st March 1900, should be allowed under the terms of the Inam Settlement. Th Excise Commissioner further reports that no bagani groves were sold by the Government in the village under the farming system from 1892-93 to 1896-97 and that the Inamdars were allowed to lease their trees (including the right of drawing toddy) up to the year 1897-98 and that they are entitled to the revenue only for the year 1898-99 and nine months of the year 1899-1900, ending on the 31st March 1900. There was a shop in the village in both the years and the Deputy Commissioner has made a revised award of Rs. 190-12-0 to the Inamdars for these years as well as for 1897-98.

2. Proof of enjoyment of the Excise revenue for 12 years prior to 1892-93 has not been produced; but in view of the limited nature of the Inamdars' rights, the Government are pleased to waive this point and to allow the Inamdars' claim to the revenue from bagani toddy up to 31st March 1900. As pointed out by the Excise Commissioner, the Inamdars are not entitled to any revenue for 1897-98 and a refund bill, in duplicate, for the amount due for 1898-99 and 9 months of 1899-1900 should be submitted for the sanction of Government.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning the record.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—G. S.